arXiv:0805.4547v1 [math.NT] 29 May 2008

TWO DIMENSIONAL ADELIC ANALYSIS AND CUSPIDAL AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS OF GL(2)

MASATOSHI SUZUKI

Abstract. Two dimensional adelic objects were introduced by I. Fesenko in his study of the Hasse zeta function associated to a regular model $\mathcal{E}$ of the elliptic curve E. The Hasse-Weil L-function $L(E,s)$ of E appears in the denominator of the Hasse zeta function of $\mathcal{E}$. The two dimensional adelic analysis predicts that the integrand h of the boundary term of the two dimensional zeta integral attached to $\mathcal{E}$ is mean-periodic. The mean-periodicity of h implies the meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of $L(E,s)$. On the other hand, if E is modular, several nice analytic properties of $L(E,s)$, in particular the analytic continuation and the functional equation, are obtained by the theory of the cuspical automorphic representation of $GL(2)$ over the ordinary ring of adele (one dimensional adelic object). In this article we try to relate the theory of two dimensional adelic object to the theory of cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(2)$ over the one dimensional adelic object, under the assumption that E is modular. Roughly speaking, they are dual each other.

1. Introduction

Let $X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ be a scheme separated and of finite type. The Hasse zeta function of X is defined by the Euler product

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_{x \in X_0} \left(1 - |\kappa(x)|^{-s}\right)^{-1},$$

where X_0 is the set of all closed points x of X with residue field $\kappa(x)$ of cardinality $|\kappa(x)| < \infty$. For a number field k with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_k$ the Hasse zeta function of the affine scheme $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_k$ is the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_k(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{O}_k}(1-|\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}|^{-s})^{-1}$. It is conjectured that $\zeta_X(s)$ has several nice analytic properties such as a meromorphic continuation and a functional equation. However, the known result is very few when the dimension of X is larger than one.

If the dimension of X is one, the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_X(s)$ is essentially the Dedekind zeta funtion $\zeta_k(s)$. Due to the celebrated work of Iwasawa and Tate, the analytic properties of $\zeta_k(s)$ are obtained by the Fourier analysis on adele $\mathbb{A}_k$. The completed Dedekind zeta function $\widehat{\zeta}_k(s)$ is defined by multiplying $\zeta_k(s)$ with a finite product of Γ-factors. It has the integral representation

$$\widehat{\zeta}_k(s) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_k^\times} f(x)|x|^s d\mu_{\mathbb{A}_k^\times}(x) =: \zeta_k(f,s),$$

Date: May 29, 2008

This work was supported by JSPS.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E45, 11F70, 14G10,

where f is an appropriate Schwartz–Bruhat function on $\mathbb{A}_k$ and $|\ |$ is a module on the ideles $\mathbb{A}_k^\times$ of k. On the other hand, one has

$$\zeta_k(f,s) = \xi(f,s) + \xi(\widehat{f}, 1-s) + \omega(f,s)$$

on $\Re(s) > 1$, where $\widehat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f on $\mathbb{A}_k$, $\xi(f,s)$ is an entire function given by an integral which converges absolutely for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and the boundary term

$$\omega(f,s) = \int_0^1 h_f(x) x^s \frac{dx}{x}$$

for some function h_f on $(0,1)$. The meromorphic continuation and the functional equation for $\widehat{\zeta}_k(s)$ are equivalent to the meromorphic continuation and the functional equation for $\omega(f,s)$. The properties of the function $h_f(x)$ are crucial in order to have a better understanding of $\omega(f,s)$. Fourier analysis and analytic duality on $k \subset \mathbb{A}_k$ leads

$$h_f(x) = -\mu\left(\mathbb{A}_k^1/k^\times\right)\left(f(0) - x^{-1}\widehat{f}(0)\right).$$

As a consequence, $\omega(f,s)$ is a rational function of s invariant with respect to $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ and $s \mapsto (1-s)$. Thus, $\widehat{\zeta}_k(s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies a functional equation with respect to $s \mapsto (1-s)$.

Let E an elliptic curve over k and let $\mathcal{E} \to B = \mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_k$ be a regular model of E over k. Then the description of geometry of models in [8, Thms 3.7, 4.35 in Ch. 9 and section 10.2.1 in Ch. 10] implies that

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s) = n_{\mathcal{E}}(s)\zeta_E(s) \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta_E(s) = \frac{\zeta_k(s)\zeta_k(s-1)}{L(E,s)} \tag{1.1}$$

on $\Re(s) > 2$. Here $n_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$ is the product of zeta functions of affine lines over finite extension $\kappa(b_j)$ of the residue fields $\kappa(b)$:

$$n_{\mathcal{E}}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{J}\left(1 - |\kappa(b_j)|^{1-s}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.2}$$

where J is the number of singular fibres of $\mathcal{E} \to B$ (see [5, section 7.3]).

The modularity conjecture for E/k asserts that there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π_E of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$ such that

$$L(E,s) = L(\pi_E, s-1/2).$$

Then the general theory of L-function $L(\pi,s)$ of cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$ leads to an analytic continuation and a functional equation of $L(E,s)$ via $L(\pi,s)$. The analytic properties of $L(\pi,s)$ are obtained by extending the Iwasawa-Tate theory from the commutative group $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A}_k)$ to the noncommutative group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$. In this story, the theory of noncommutative group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$ relates to $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$ via the modularity conjecture and the *L-function* $L(E,s)$ *of* E.

In contrast with the above story, I. Fesenko proposed another way to study $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$ in [3, 5, 4] by using a commutative group associated to two dimensional adeles. The ordinary ring of adeles $\mathbb{A}_k$ is regarded as an one dimensional object in the sense that it is associated to the one dimensional scheme $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_k$. He introduced the two dimensional adelic space $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ associated to the two dimensional scheme $\mathcal{E}$ and established a theory of translation invariant measure and integrals on its subring $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S} \prec \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, where S is a set of fibers consisting of finitely many horizontal curves of $\mathcal{E} \to B$ and all its vertical fibers. Using a measure theory on two dimensional adelic space, he defined the zeta integral

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f,s) = \int_{T_{\mathcal{E},S}} f(t)|t|^s d\mu(t),$$

where f is a generalized Schwartz-Bruhat function on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}$, $T_{\mathcal{E},S}$ is certain subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}^\times$, $|\ |$ is a module function on $T_{\mathcal{E},S}$ and $d\mu$ is a measure on $T_{\mathcal{E},S}$ (see [5, section 5]). The zeta integral $\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f,s)$ converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 2$. If the test function f_0 is well-chosen, the zeta integral $\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f_0,s)$ equals

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f_0,s) = \prod_{\text{finite}} \widehat{\zeta}_{k_i}(s/2)^2 \cdot c_{\mathcal{E}}^{1-s} \cdot \zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)^2$$

where k_i is an extension of k determined by each horizontal fiber in S and $c_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a positive real number determined by $\mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, similar to the Iwasawa-Tate theory, the zeta integral $\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f,s)$ is decomposed as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f,s) = \xi(f,s) + \xi(\widehat{f}, 2-s) + \omega(f,s)$$

on $\Re(s) > 2$, where $\xi(f,s)$ is an entire function and $\widehat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}$. Hence the meromorphic continuation of $\omega(f_0,s)$ implies the meromorphic continuation of the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$. If we can prove the meromorphic continuation of $\omega(f,s)$ using analysis and duality on two dimensional adelic space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}$, it leads the meromorphic continuation of the L-function $L(E,s)$, without proving the modularity property!

One possible approach for the meromorphic continuation of $\omega(f,s)$ is proposed via the theory of mean-periodic functions ([5, section 7], see also [12]). For the general theory of mean-periodic functions, see Kahane [7], Schwartz [10] or a reference of [12]. Similar to the Iwasawa-Tate theory, we have the boundary term

$$\omega(f,s) = \int_0^1 h_f(x) \cdot x^s \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^\infty h_f(e^{-t}) \cdot e^{-st} dt$$

for some function h_f on $(0,1)$. So the boundary term is the Laplace transform of $h_f(e^{-t})$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a locally convex separated topological $\mathbb{C}$-vector space consisting of complex valued functions on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times = (0,\infty)$. It has a natural representation τ of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ as $(\tau_a F)(x) = F(x/a)$ for every $F \in \mathfrak{X}$. For $F \in \mathfrak{X}$ we denote by $\mathcal{T}(F)$ be the closure of $\{\tau_a F \,|\, a \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times\}$ with respect to the topology of $\mathfrak{X}$. A function $F \in \mathfrak{X}$ is called mean-periodic if $\mathcal{T}(F) \neq \mathfrak{X}$. Using the representation τ the convolution $F * \varphi$ for $F \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ is defined by

$$(F * \varphi)(x) = \langle \tau_x \check{F}, \varphi \rangle$$

where $\check{F}(x) = F(x^{-1})$. The mean-periodicity $\mathcal{T}(F) \neq \mathfrak{X}$ of F is equivalent that the space of annihilators $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ of $\mathcal{T}(F)$ concerning the convolution is nontrivial;

$$\mathcal{T}(F)^\perp := \{\varphi \in X^* \,|\, G * \varphi = 0, \ \forall G \in \mathcal{T}(F)\} \neq \{0\}.$$

As a consequence of the general theory of mean-periodic function, if F is mean-periodic, the Laplace transform of $F(e^{-t})$ (the Mellin transform of $F(x)$) is continued meromorphically to the whole complex plane.

Now we suppose that $h_{f_0} \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then the conjectural mean-periodicity of h_{f_0} implies the meromorphic continuation of the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$. Hence it is important to understand the space of annihilators $\mathcal{T}(h_{f_0})^{\perp}$.

In this paper, in the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$, we describe the space of annihilators $\mathcal{T}(h_{f_0})^{\perp}$ by using the cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose existence follows from the modularity of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ (see Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 for more detail). Such description of $\mathcal{T}(h_{f_0})^{\perp}$ suggests some duality between the commutative theory of two dimensional adeles $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{E},S}$ and the noncommutative theory $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of one dimensional adele $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In section 2 we include several definitions, notations and already known properties. In section 3 we state the results, and we prove them in section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let $S(\mathbb{R})$ be the Schwartz space on $\mathbb{R}$ which consists of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{m,n} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |x^m f^{(n)}(x)| < \infty$$

for all nonnegative integer m and n. It is a Fréchet space over the complex numbers with the topology induced from the family of seminorms $\| \ \|_{m,n}$. Let us define the Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$ and its topology via the homeomorphism

$$S(\mathbb{R}) \to S(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times});\ f(t) \mapsto f(-\log x),$$

where $t = -\log x$. The *strong Schwartz space* $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ ([9]) is defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}) := \bigcap_{\beta\in\mathbb{R}} \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}, \left[x \mapsto x^{-\beta} f(x)\right] \in S(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}) \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

One of the family of seminorms on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ defining its topology is given by

$$\|f\|_{m,n} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}} |x^m f^{(n)}(x)| \tag{2.2}$$

for integer m and nonnegative integer n. The strong Schwartz space $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ is a Fréchet space over the complex numbers where the family of seminorms defining its topology is given in (2.2). This space is closed under the multiplication by a complex number and the pointwise addition and multiplication ([9]). Let $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})^*$ be the dual space of $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ with the weak $*$-topology. The pairing between $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})^*$ is denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, namely $\langle f, \varphi\rangle = \varphi(f)$ for $f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})^*$. The (multiplicative) representation τ of $\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$ on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ is defined by

$$\tau_x f(y) := f(y/x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$$

and the (multiplicative) convolution $f * \varphi$ of $f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})^*$ by

$$(f * \varphi)(x) = \langle \tau_x \check{f}, \varphi\rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$$

where $\check{f}(x) := f(x^{-1})$. The dual representation τ^* on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{\times})^*$ is defined by

$$\langle f, \tau_x^* \varphi\rangle := \langle \tau_x f, \varphi\rangle.$$

If V is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space then the bidual space V^{**} (the dual space of V^* with respect to the weak $*$-topology on V^*) is identified with V in the following way. For a continuous linear functional F on V^* with respect to its weak $*$-topology, there exists $v \in V$ such that $F(v^*) = v^*(v)$ for every $v^* \in V^*$. Therefore, we do not distinguish the pairing on $V^{**} \times V^*$ from the pairing on $V \times V^*$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$. An element $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ is said to be $\mathfrak{X}$-mean-periodic if there exists a non-trivial element x^* in $\mathfrak{X}^*$ satisfying $x * x^* = 0$.*

For $x \in \mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}(x)$ the closure of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by $\{\tau_g^*(x), g \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times\}$. The Hahn-Banach theorem leads to another definition of $\mathfrak{X}$-mean-periodic functions.

Proposition 2.1. *An element $x \in \mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$ is $\mathfrak{X}$-mean-periodic if and only if $\mathcal{T}(x) \neq \mathfrak{X}$.*

Let $L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ be the space of locally integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ satisfying

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} O(x^a) & \text{as } x \to +\infty, \\ O(x^{-a}) & \text{as } x \to 0^+ \end{cases}$$

for some real number $a \geq 0$. Each $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ gives rise to a distribution $\varphi_h \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$ defined by

$$\langle f, \varphi_h \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x)h(x)\frac{dx}{x}, \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times).$$

If there is no confusion, we denote φ_h by h itself and use the notations $\langle f, h\rangle = \langle f, \varphi_h\rangle$ and $h(x) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$. Then

$$x^\lambda \log^k(x) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times) \subset \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, if $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ then the convolution $f * \varphi_h$ coincides with the ordinary convolution on functions on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ namely

$$(f * h)(x) = \langle \tau_x \check{f}, f \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x/y)h(y)\frac{dy}{y} = \int_0^{+\infty} f(y)h(x/y)\frac{dy}{y}.$$

For a $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ define h^+ and h^- by

$$h^+(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ h(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad h^-(x) := \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $h^\pm \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ for all $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$. If $f * h = 0$ for some non-trivial $f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ then the Mellin transforms*

$$\mathsf{M}(f * h^\pm)(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} (f * h^\pm)(x)x^s\frac{dx}{x}$$

are entire functions on $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$*. If* $f * h = 0$ *for some non-trivial* $f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ *then the* Mellin–Carleman transform $\mathsf{MC}(h)(s)$ *of* $h(x)$ *is defined by*

$$\mathsf{MC}(h)(s) := \frac{\mathsf{M}(f * h^+)(s)}{\mathsf{M}(f)(s)} = -\frac{\mathsf{M}(f * h^-)(s)}{\mathsf{M}(f)(s)}.$$

The Mellin–Carleman transform $\mathsf{MC}(h)$ does not depend on the particular choice of non-trivial f satisfying $f * h = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc,poly}}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times) \subset \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$*. If* $f * h = 0$ *for some non-trivial* $f \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$*, in other words,* h *is* $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$*-mean-periodic, then the Mellin–Carleman transform* $\mathsf{MC}(h)(s)$ *of* $h(x)$ *is a meromorphic function on* $\mathbb{C}$*.*

The Mellin–Carleman transform $\mathsf{MC}(h)(s)$ of $h(x)$ is *not* a generalization of the Mellin transform of h but is a generalization of the following integral, half Mellin transform,

$$\int_0^1 h(x) x^s \frac{dx}{x}.$$

See also section 2 of [12] for more detail.

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor q_E. Then the completed L-function $\Lambda(E,s)$ is defined by

$$\Lambda(E,s) := q_E^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(E,s).$$

It is conjectured that $\Lambda(E,s)$ is continued to an entire function and satisfies the functional equation $\Lambda(E,s) = \omega_E \Lambda(E, 2-s)$ for some sign $\omega_E \in \{\pm 1\}$. By (1.1), the meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of $\Lambda(E,s)$ implies the meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$. Moreover such nice analytic properties of $\Lambda(E,s)$ lead to mean-periodicity of the $\omega(f_0, s)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* E *be an elliptic curve over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *and let* $\mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ *be its regular model. Assume that* $\Lambda(E,s)$ *is continued meromorphically to* $\mathbb{C}$ *with a finite poles and satisfies the functional equation*

$$\Lambda(E,s)^2 = \Lambda(E,2-s)^2.$$

Then the function

$$h_{\mathcal{E}}(x) := f_{\mathcal{E}}(x) - x^{-1} f_{\mathcal{E}}(x^{-1})$$

with

$$f_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} \Lambda(s/2+1/4)^2 c_{\mathcal{E}}^{-s-1/2} \zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s+1/2)^2 x^{-s} ds \quad (c > 1)$$

belongs to $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$*, where* $c_{\mathcal{E}}$ *is a positive real constant determined by the singular fiber of* $\mathcal{E}$ ([5, section 5])*. Moreover* $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ *is* $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^*$*-mean-periodic and has the expansion*

$$h_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \sum_{\Im(\lambda)\le T} \sum_{m=1}^{m_\lambda} C_m(\lambda) \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} x^{-\lambda} (\log x)^{m-1}$$

where λ *are poles of* $\Lambda(s/2+1/4)^2 c_{\mathcal{E}}^{-s-1/2}\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s+1/2)^2$ *of multiplicity* m_λ*,* $C_m(\lambda)$ *are constants determined by the principal part at* $s=\lambda$*;*

$$\Lambda(s/2+1/4)^2 c_{\mathcal{E}}^{-s-1/2}\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s+1/2)^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{m_\lambda} \frac{C_m(\lambda)}{(s-\lambda)^m} + O(1) \quad \textit{when} \quad s \to \lambda,$$

and the sum over λ is converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$.

Proof. See section 5 of [12, section 5]. □

So the mean-periodicity of $h_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ and the meromorphic continuation of $\Lambda(E,s)^2$ are equivalent to each other in the first approximation.

Remark 2.1. *Let S be the set of fibres of $\mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ consisting of one horizontal curve which is the image of the zero section of $\mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ and all vertical fibres of $\mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\mathcal{E},S}(f_0,s) &= \Lambda(s/2)^2 c_{\mathcal{E}}^{-s}\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)^2\\ &= \int_1^\infty x^{-1/2} f_{\mathcal{E}}(x) x^s \frac{dx}{x} + \int_1^\infty x^{-1/2} f_{\mathcal{E}}(x) x^{2-s}\frac{dx}{x} + \int_0^1 x^{-1/2} h_{\mathcal{E}}(x) x^s \frac{dx}{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the function $h_{f_0}(x)$ in the introduction is $x^{-1/2}h_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$.

Remark 2.2. *We hope to prove the mean-periodicity of $h_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ without using the meromorphic continuation of $\Lambda(E,s)$.*

3. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Throughout this section we denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the adele $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. At first we settle the following basic assumption.

Basic assumption. *Suppose that $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is modular. We denote by (π, V_π) the corresponding cuspical automorphic representation in $L^2(GL_2(\mathbb{Q})\backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \mathbf{1})$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial central character.*

Of course the modularity of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is now a theorem by the famous work of Wiles et. al. However it is not proved for a general number field k. We emphasize this assumption for the future study of this direction.

3.1. Construction on the positive real line.

In this part we construct the space of anihilators $\mathcal{T}(h_{\mathcal{E}})^\perp$ of $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ associated to $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)$ as in Theorem 2.1 by using $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$-theory of Soulé [11] which is an extension of the original theory of Connes [1].

Let $M = \mathrm{Mat}_2$ and $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$. Let $|\ | : G_{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ be the module map given by $|g| = |\det g|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let f_π be an admissible matrix coefficient of the cuspidal automorphic representation (π, V_π) on $L^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbf{1})$, and let ϕ be a Schwartz-Bruhat function on $M_{\mathbb{A}}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$, we set $G_x = \{g \in G_{\mathbb{A}} \mid |g| = x\}$. Define a complex valued function $\mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ by

$$\mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi)(x) = \int_{G_x} \phi(g) f_\pi(g)\, dg \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times). \tag{3.1}$$

Then

i) the integral (3.1) converges absolutely,
ii) for any integer $N > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|\mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi)(x)| \le C x^{-N}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$,

iii) we have the functional equation

$$\mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi)(x) = x^{-2}\mathfrak{E}(\hat{\phi}, \check{f}_\pi)(x^{-1}) \tag{3.3}$$

where $\hat{\phi}$ is the Fourier transform of ϕ and $\check{f}_\pi(g) = f_\pi(g^{-1})$.

Let

$$S(\pi) = \{(\phi, f_\pi) \mid \phi \in S(M(\mathbb{A})),\ f_\pi\text{: admissible coefficient of } \pi\}.$$

Then (3.2) and (3.3) show that $\mathfrak{E}$ is a map from $S(\pi)$ into $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$:

$$\mathfrak{E} : S(\pi) \to \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times);\ (\phi, f_\pi) \mapsto \mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}_\pi \subset \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ the image of $\mathfrak{E}$. Using the function

$$w_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} \Gamma(s/4)^2 \cdot \frac{(c_\mathcal{E}/q_E)^s}{n_\mathcal{E}(s)^2} \cdot s^4(s-2)^4 \cdot (s-1)^2 \cdot x^{-s} ds, \tag{3.4}$$

we define the space $\mathcal{W}_\pi$ by

$$\mathcal{W}_\pi = w_0 * \mathcal{V}_\pi * \mathcal{V}_\pi = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{C}\{w_0 * v_1 * v_2 \mid v_i \in \mathcal{V}_\pi\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then the space $\mathcal{W}_\pi$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$, since $w_0 \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ is closed under the multiplicative convolution. For $h \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$, we define

$$\mathcal{T}(h)^\perp = \{\, g \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times) \mid g * \tau = 0,\ \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}(h)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp = \{\, \varphi \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)^* \mid w * \varphi = 0,\ \forall w \in \mathcal{W}_\pi \,\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ be its regular model. Let $h_\mathcal{E}$ be the function on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ associated to the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_\mathcal{E}(s)^2$ as in Theorem 2.1. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E})^\perp \supset \mathcal{W}_\pi \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp.$$

Hence $\mathcal{W}_\pi \neq \{0\}$ means $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)^*$-mean-periodicity of $h_\mathcal{E}(x)$. Further the equality

$$\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E})^\perp = \mathcal{W}_\pi \quad \textit{or} \quad \mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp$$

implies the non-existence of cancelations of zeros between

$$(s-1)\widehat{\zeta}(s/2)\widehat{\zeta}(s)\widehat{\zeta}(s-1) \quad \textit{and} \quad n_\mathcal{E}(s)^{-1}\Lambda_E(s).$$

3.2. **Adelic construction.** In this part, we consider the adelic version of the previous one according to Deitmar [2]. Let $S(M_\mathbb{A})_0$ be the space of all $\phi \in S(M_\mathbb{A})$ such that ϕ and $\hat{\phi}$ send $\{g \in M_\mathbb{A} \mid \det(g) = 0\} = M_\mathbb{A} - G_\mathbb{A}$ to zero. For $\phi \in S(M_\mathbb{A})_0$ we define functions $\mathfrak{E}(\phi)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)$ on $G_\mathbb{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(\phi)(g) &= \sum_{\gamma \in M_\mathbb{Q}} \phi(\gamma g) = \sum_{\gamma \in G_\mathbb{Q}} \phi(\gamma g), \\ \hat{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)(g) &= \sum_{\gamma \in M_\mathbb{Q}} \phi(g\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in G_\mathbb{Q}} \phi(g\gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then for any $\phi \in S(M_\mathbb{A})_0$, we have

i) the sums $\mathfrak{E}(\phi)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)$ converge locally uniformly in g with all derivatives,

ii) for any $N > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathfrak{E}(\phi)(g)|,\ |\hat{\mathfrak{E}}(\phi)(g)| \leq C\min\big(|g|, |g|^{-1}\big)^N, \tag{3.7}$$

iii) for $g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$ we have the functional equation

$$\mathfrak{E}(\phi)(g) = |g|^{-2} \hat{\mathfrak{E}}(\hat{\phi})(g^{-1}). \tag{3.8}$$

Hence $\mathfrak{E}(\phi)$ belongs to the strong Schwartz space

$$\mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}) = \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} |\ |^{\beta} S(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}).$$

Let $G_{\mathbb{A}}^1$ be the kernel of the module map $g \mapsto |g|$. Fix a splitting $\text{ß} : \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \to G_{\mathbb{A}}$ of the exact sequence $1 \to G_{\mathbb{A}}^1 \to G_{\mathbb{A}} \to 1$ such that $(\mathrm{id}, \text{ß}) : G_{\mathbb{A}}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \to G_{\mathbb{A}}$ is an isomorphism. We denote by R the image of splitting ß. Let $\varphi_\pi \in V_\pi \subset L^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^1) \simeq L^2(\mathsf{R} G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})$ be a vector $\varphi_\pi = \otimes_v \varphi_{\pi,v}$ such that $\varphi_{\pi,v}$ is a normalized class one vector for almost all places. Further we assume that φ_π is smooth and $\varphi_\pi(1) \neq 0$.

We define

$$\mathcal{W}_\pi = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \big\{ (w_0 \circ \text{ß}) * (\mathfrak{E}(\phi_1) \cdot \varphi_\pi) * (\mathfrak{E}(\phi_2) \cdot \varphi_\pi) \,\big|\, \phi_i \in S(M_{\mathbb{A}}) \big\} \subset \mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}),$$

where w_0 is the function in (3.4), $(\mathfrak{E}(\phi) \cdot \varphi_\pi)(x) = \mathfrak{E}(\phi)(x) \cdot \varphi_\pi(x)$ and $*$ is the convolution on $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}$ via the right regular representation R, and

$$\mathcal{W}_\pi^{\perp} = \{ \eta \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})^* \,|\, w * \eta \equiv 0, \ \forall w \in \mathcal{W}_\pi \}.$$

For $\eta \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})^*$ we define

$$\mathcal{T}(\eta) = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ R^*(g) \eta \,|\, g \in G_{\mathbb{A}} \},$$

where R^* is the transpose of the right regular representation of $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ on $\mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})$ with respect to the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ of $\mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})$ and $\mathbf{S}(G_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}})^*$,

Theorem 3.2. *Let $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the function on $\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$ associated to the Hasse zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)^2$ as in Theorem 2.1. Under the above notations, we have*

$$\mathcal{T}(h_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \text{ß}) \subset \mathcal{W}_\pi^{\perp}.$$

The equality

$$\mathcal{T}(h_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \text{ß}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi^{\perp}$$

implies the non-existence of cancelation of the zeros between

$$(s-1) \widehat{\zeta}(s/2) \widehat{\zeta}(s) \widehat{\zeta}(s-1) \quad \text{and} \quad n_{\mathcal{E}}(s)^{-1} \Lambda_E(s).$$

4. PROOF OF RESULTS

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** First we prove the implication $\mathcal{W}_\pi \subset \mathcal{T}(h_{\mathcal{E}})^{\perp}$. It suffices to prove that $w * h_{\mathcal{E}} \equiv 0$ for any $w \in \mathcal{W}_\pi$. By Theorem 2.1 the function $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a series consisting of functions $f_{\lambda,k}(x) = x^{-\lambda} (\log x)^k$. For $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_\pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} w * f_{\lambda,k}(x) &= \int_0^\infty w(y) f_{\lambda,k}(x/y) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^k \binom{k}{j} x^{-\lambda} (\log x)^{k-j} \int_0^\infty w(y) y^{\lambda} (\log y)^j \frac{dy}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\int_0^\infty w(y) y^{\lambda} (\log y)^j \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j} \int_0^\infty w(y) y^{\lambda} \frac{dy}{y}.$$

By definition of $\mathcal{W}_\pi$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty w(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} &= \int_0^\infty \left(w_0 * \mathfrak{E}(\phi_1, f_\pi) * \mathfrak{E}(\phi_2, f'_\pi)\right)(y) y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \int_0^\infty w_0(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} \cdot \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{E}(\phi_1, f_\pi)(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} \cdot \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{E}(\phi_2, f'_\pi)(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y}\end{aligned}$$

for some (ϕ_1, f_π), $(\phi_2, f'_\pi) \in S(\pi)$. From the construction of $\mathcal{V}_\pi$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \mathfrak{E}(\phi, f_\pi)(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} = F_{\phi,f_\pi}(\lambda)L(\pi, \lambda - 1/2) = F_{\phi,f_\pi}(\lambda)L(E, \lambda)$$

where $F_{\phi,f_\pi}(\lambda)$ is an entire function determined by (ϕ, f_π) (see [6, Theorem 13.8] and [11, section 2.5]). The second equality is a consequence of modularity. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty w(y)y^\lambda \frac{dy}{y} &= \Gamma(\lambda/4)^2\lambda^4(\lambda-2)^4(\lambda-1)^2(c_\mathcal{E}/q_E)^\lambda \\ &\qquad \times n_\mathcal{E}(\lambda)^{-2}L(E,\lambda)^2 F_{\phi_2,f_\pi}(\lambda)F_{\phi_2,f'_\pi}(\lambda),\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

and $w * h_\mathcal{E}$ is a series consisting of (4.1) and its j-th derivative with $j \le m_\lambda$. Because $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is modular, $\Lambda(E, s)$ is an entire function. Therefore the complex numbers λ appearing in the expansion of $h_\mathcal{E}(x)$ is one of the followings:

(1) $\lambda = 0$ or 2 and $m_\lambda = 4$,
(2) $\lambda \ne 1$ is a zero of $\Lambda(E, s)$ with $n_\mathcal{E}(\lambda)^{-1} \ne 0$, and $0 \le m_\lambda \le$ the multiplicity of zero of $\Lambda(E, s)^2$ at $s = \lambda$,
(3) $\lambda \ne 1$ is a common zero of $\Lambda(E, s)$ and $n_\mathcal{E}(s)^{-1}$, and $-2 \le m_\lambda - 2 \le$ the multiplicity of zero of $\Lambda(E, s)^2$ at $s = \lambda$,
(4) $\lambda \ne 1$ is a zero of $n_\mathcal{E}(s)^{-1}$ with $\Lambda(E, \lambda) \ne 0$, and $m_\lambda = 2$
(5) $\lambda = 1$ and $-2 - 2J \le m_\lambda - 2 - 2J \le$ the multiplicity of zero of $\Lambda(E, s)^2$ at $s = \lambda$, where J is the number of singular fibers of $\mathcal{E}$ (see (1.2)).

Hence $w * h_\mathcal{E} \equiv 0$. Because w was arbitrary, we obtain $\mathcal{W}_\pi \subset \mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E})^\perp$.

The other implication $\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp$ is proved by a similar way. The following fact is useful for this direction (see [6, section 13] and [11, section 2.5]); there exists finitely many $(\phi_\alpha, f_{\pi,\alpha}) \in S(\pi)$ such that

$$\sum_\alpha \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{E}(\phi_\alpha, f_{\pi,\alpha})(x)x^s \frac{dx}{x} = L(\pi, s - 1/2).$$

The final assertion for $\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E})^\perp = \mathcal{W}_\pi$ is obvious from (4.1) and (1) $\sim$ (5). For $\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp$ we note that $\mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp$ consists of $f_{\lambda,k}$ such that λ is a zero of $n_\mathcal{E}(s)^{-2}\Lambda(E,s)s^4(s-2)^4(s-1)^2$ and $k \le$ the multiplicity of λ ([11]). If $f_{\lambda,k} \in \mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E})$ then λ is a pole of order $\ge k$ of $\mathsf{MC}(h_\mathcal{E})$ by the general theory of mean-periodic function (e.g. [7, Theorem in lecture 4]). Hence the cancelation can not occur when $\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{W}^\perp$. □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** This is proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1. For $\mathcal{T}(h_\mathcal{E} \circ \text{ß}) \subset \mathcal{W}_\pi^\perp$, it is sufficient to prove that $(h_\mathcal{E} \circ \text{ß}) * w = 0$ for any $w \in \mathcal{W}_\pi$. By the expansion of $h_\mathcal{E}(x)$ in Theorem 2.1, $h_\mathcal{E} \circ \text{ß}$ is a series consisting of $f_{\lambda,k} \circ \text{ß}$. We have

$$(f_{\lambda,k} \circ \text{ß}) * w(y) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} |y|^{-\lambda}(\log|y|)^{k-j} \int_{G_\mathbb{Q}\backslash G_\mathbb{A}} w(x)|x|^\lambda(\log|x|)^j dx.$$

Here

$$\int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} w(x)|x|^{\lambda}(\log|x|)^j dx = \frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j}\int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} w(x)|x|^{\lambda}dx,$$

and

$$\int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} w(x)|x|^{\lambda}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}} w_0(x)x^{\lambda}\frac{dx}{x}$$
$$\times \int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathfrak{E}(\phi_1)(x)\varphi_\pi(x)|x|^{\lambda}dx \int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathfrak{E}(\phi_2)(x)\varphi_\pi(x)|x|^{\lambda}dx,$$

since $|\ |^{\lambda}$ is a multiplicative (quasi) character. By Lemma 3.5 of [2],

$$\int_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathfrak{E}(\phi)(x)\varphi_\pi(x)|x|^{\lambda}dx = L(\pi, s-1/2)F_{\phi,\varphi_\pi}(s) = L(E,s)F_{\phi,\varphi_\pi}(s),$$

where $F_{\phi,\varphi_\pi}(s)$ is an entire function. Therefore $(f_{\lambda,k}\circ \text{ß}) * w(y) = 0$ for each λ, $1 \le k \le m_\lambda$ appearing in the expansion of $h_{\mathcal{E}}$, since λ is a zero of $L(E,s)$ or a zero of $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\times}} w_0(x)x^{\lambda}\frac{dx}{x}$. Hence $(h_{\mathcal{E}}\circ \text{ß}) * w = 0$ for any $w \in \mathcal{W}_\pi$. $\square$

References

1. Alain Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **5** (1999), no. 1, 29–106. MR MR1694895 (2000i:11133)
2. Anton Deitmar, *A Polya-Hilbert operator for automorphic L-functions*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **12** (2001), no. 2, 157–175. MR MR1913639 (2003h:11055)
3. Ivan Fesenko, *Analysis on arithmetic schemes. I*, Doc. Math. (2003), no. Extra Vol., 261–284 (electronic), Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday. MR MR2046602 (2005a:11186)
4. ———, *Analysis on arithmetic schemes. II*, (2006), prepublication available at `http://www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/ao2.pdf`.
5. ———, *Adelic approach to the zeta function of arithmetic schemes in dimension two*, Moscow Math. Journal (2008), no. 2, 1–45, available at `http://www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/ade.pdf`.
6. Roger Godement and Hervé Jacquet, *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. MR MR0342495 (49 #7241)
7. Jean-Pierre Kahane, *Lectures on mean periodic functions*, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1959.
8. Qing Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics,, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006, Translated from the French by Reinie Erné. MR MR1917232 (2003g:14001)
9. Ralf Meyer, *A spectral interpretation for the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen: Seminars Winter Term 2004/2005, Universitätsdrucke Göttingen, Göttingen, 2005, pp. 117–137. MR MR2206883 (2006k:11166)
10. Laurent Schwartz, *Théorie générale des fonctions moyenne-périodiques*, Ann. of Math. (2) **48** (1947), 857–929. MR MR0023948 (9,428c)
11. Cristophe Soulé, *On zeroes of automorphic L-functions*, Dynamical, spectral, and arithmetic zeta functions (San Antonio, TX, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 290, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 167–179. MR MR1868475 (2003c:11103)
12. Masatoshi Suzuki, Ivan Fesenko, and Guillaume Ricotta, *Mean-periodicity and zeta functions*, (2008), prepublication, available at `http://uk.arxiv.org/abs/0803.2821`.

Masatoshi Suzuki
Department of Mathematics
Rikkyo University

Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku
Tokyo 171-8501, Japan
`suzuki@rkmath.rikkyo.ac.jp`